

Two-dimensional Birkhoff's theorem

Matěj Dostál

Czech Technical University in Prague
Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Department of Mathematics

13/2/2016

Outline

- 1 Ordinary Birkhoff's theorem
- 2 Two-dimensional algebras
- 3 2D Birkhoff's theorem

Universal algebra

- Universal algebra is the study of algebraic structures.
(Birkhoff, 1935)
- Algebras in “ordinary” universal algebra are **sets** equipped with **operations** satisfying some **axioms** (identities).

Example — monoids

A **monoid** $(M, *, e)$ is a set M together with a binary operation $*$ and a nullary operation e , satisfying the identities

$$\begin{aligned}(x * y) * z &= x * (y * z), \\ e * x &= x * e = x.\end{aligned}$$

Ordinary Birkhoff's theorem

Commutative monoids

The inclusion $\text{CommMon} \hookrightarrow \text{Mon}$ is given by the additional identity $x * y = y * x$.

Equational subcategories of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T})$

Let $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T})$ denote algebras for a theory \mathcal{T} .

$$\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Alg}(\mathcal{T})$$

Every equational subcategory \mathcal{A} of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T})$ is closed in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T})$ under products, subalgebras, and quotient algebras (**HSP**).

Birkhoff's HSP theorem

The **converse** of the above proposition is true; we can detect **equational subcategories** by their closure properties.

Usage of Birkhoff's theorem

$\mathcal{A} = \text{Modular lattices}$

Lattices satisfying the implication

$$x \leq b \quad \text{implies} \quad x \vee (a \wedge b) = (x \vee a) \wedge b$$

closed under H, S and P: axiomatisable by identities.

$\mathcal{A} = \text{Integral domains}$

Nonzero commutative rings satisfying

$$x * y = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad x = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad y = 0$$

not closed under HSP: cannot be axiomatised by identities.

Categories with structure

There are important examples of **categories** equipped with algebraic structure. Basic example: $(\text{Set}, \times, 1)$.

$[2, 0]$ -categories

A $[2, 0]$ -category is a category \mathcal{C} together with a **functorial** binary operation \otimes that is associative **up to natural isomorphism**

$$\alpha_{ABC} : (A \otimes B) \otimes C \xrightarrow{\cong} A \otimes (B \otimes C),$$

and a **unit** I that is an identity for \otimes **up to natural isomorphisms**.

Categorical universal algebra

- Categorical universal algebra employs category theory in the study of algebra.
- Basic notion on the level of syntax: algebraic theory.
(Lawvere, 1963)

Algebraic theory

A **theory** is a small category \mathcal{T} equipped with some **limit structure** (e.g. finite products). Corresponds to abstract clones in UA.

Algebra for a theory \mathcal{T}

A **\mathcal{T} -algebra** is a functor $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ that preserves the limit structure of \mathcal{T} . Natural transformations correspond to homomorphisms between \mathcal{T} -algebras. The category of all \mathcal{T} -algebras is denoted by $\mathbf{Alg}(\mathcal{T})$.

Many-sorted algebras

- Slight generalisation: many-sorted algebras. (Birkhoff, 1970)
- Algebras have an underlying set for each specified sort, the operations are sorted.

Example – directed graphs

A **directed graph** (E, V, s, t) is a set E of the **edge** sort, a set V of the **vertex** sort, and two unary operations

$$s : E \rightarrow V,$$

$$t : E \rightarrow V,$$

representing the source and target maps.

Examples of algebraic theories

Directed graphs — empty limit structure



Contains only unary operations: no need for products.

Monoids — finite product structure

$$g \times g \xrightarrow{*} g \qquad G \times G \xrightarrow{*} G$$

All the **derived** operations are present in the theory.

Categories with structure

There are important examples of **categories** equipped with algebraic structure. Recall: $(\text{Set}, \times, 1)$.

$[2, 0]$ -categories

A $[2, 0]$ -category is a category \mathcal{C} together with a **functorial** binary operation \otimes that is associative **up to natural isomorphism**

$$\alpha_{ABC} : (A \otimes B) \otimes C \xrightarrow{\cong} A \otimes (B \otimes C),$$

and a **unit** I that is an identity for \otimes **up to natural isomorphisms**.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (x \otimes y) \otimes z & \\
 & \curvearrowright & \\
 m \times m \times m & \Downarrow \alpha & m \\
 & \curvearrowleft & \\
 & x \otimes (y \otimes z) &
 \end{array}$$

Enriched algebraic theories

Category-enriched categories

Ordinary category \mathcal{C} : objects $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, hom-sets $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$.

2-category \mathcal{C} : objects $\text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$, hom-categories $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$.

Algebraic theory enriched in categories

A theory is a small 2-category \mathcal{T} equipped with finite products.

Algebra for a theory \mathcal{T}

A \mathcal{T} -algebra is a 2-functor $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ that preserves finite products. Natural transformations correspond to homomorphisms between \mathcal{T} -algebras. The 2-category of all \mathcal{T} -algebras is denoted by $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T})$.

2-dimensional identities

What are identities?

We do not want to specify identities of the form

$$(A \otimes B) \otimes C = A \otimes (B \otimes C).$$

We are interested in identities **between rewrite “strategies”**, e.g.

$$((A \otimes B) \otimes C) \otimes D \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \parallel \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \quad A \otimes (B \otimes (C \otimes D))$$

There are **two ways** to use the “associator” rewrite rule. The identity is one of the axioms of a **monoidal category**.

2-dimensional HSP theorem

Problem

Characterise equational subcategories

$$\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \text{Alg}(\mathcal{T}),$$

where identities **glue rewrite strategies together** (not terms).

Result (MD, published 2016)

The equational subcategories of $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T})$ are precisely the subcategories that are closed in $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{T})$ under **HSP** and “**directed unions**”.

The proof heavily depends on the results of (Bourke, Garner 2014) concerning **2-dimensional factorisation systems and exactness**.

References

- MD: A 2-dimensional Birkhoff's theorem, Theory Appl. Categ. (2016)
- Adámek, Rosický, Vitale: Algebraic theories, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 184 (2011)
- Birkhoff: On the structure of abstract algebras, 1935
- Bourke, Garner: Two-dimensional regularity and exactness, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218 (2014), 1346-1371